**Table 2.** Impact of HSUV elicitation and T-Y models on treatment recommendation.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Options | YLs | Elicitation | P(*ai*& *bj*) | U(*a*i & *b*j) | QALYs | RECs | RT |
| No Tx | 7.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 7.00 |  |  |
| Yes Tx | 15.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Intervention | 1.00 | 0.52 | 7.80 | Yes Tx | P |
|  |  | No intervention |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Model (i) | 1.00 | 0.15 | 2.25 | No Tx | F |
|  |  | Model (ii) | 1.00 | 0.45 | 6.75 | No Tx | F |
|  |  | Model (iii) | 1.00 | (0.45, 0.62] | [6.75, 9.30] | ? | F |

REC, recommendation; RT, reasonableness test; P, pass; F, fail.